

Minutes.

ADP/6/631

S.O.E. had the impression that S.I.S. were withholding information because S.I.S. did not like S.O.E.'s counter, officer who at that time - 26th Nov. 1943 - was Bingham.

To: Lt. Col. Boyle
From: Lt. Col. Senter

To: Lt. Col. Senter
From: Lt. Col. Warden

Nothing to be written in this margin.

7th Dec.
1943.

Section I of the opinion that O.D. still working & not penetrated (1st part of report)

2nd part said that CHAR was blown. CHAR had said that a sister organization was blown: Warden thought that was an S.I.S. org? but probably it was an S.O.E. one although Warden was not sure about this.

Bingham (S.O.E.) had accused Seymour (S.I.S.) of "having blown sets" - (presumably wireless sets in the field) and Seymour had accused Bingham of "having his org? penetrated."

(presumably of knowing that it was penetrated but doing nothing about it.)

Section V : ^{Minutes.} Counts E. Spionage Section
of S.I.S.

Special Section : S.O.E. Security in
the field.

26th June 1943 Seymour suggested
that S.O.E. org^s in Holland were under
control through the O.D.

Bingham asked for more infⁿ and
they (Section V & S.O.E. Special Section)
agreed to work together.

On 16th July S.O.E. asked S.I.S. whether
they had any infⁿ but were told that
it was "inadvisable" to pursue the
joint investigation any further.

By 18th August still no satisfactory
outcome. S.O.E. then said that either
Capt. Seymour should substantiate his
Statement or withdraw it.

10th September Cordeaux wrote to
say that Section V had been
instructed to make enquiries about
the penetration of S.O.E. org^s and
the O.D.

Nothing to be Written in this Margin.